DFT study on the propylene reaction on Kaminsky catalyst in  $[CH_3B(C_6F_5)_3]^-$ And MD simulation on the binding of propylene with the catalyst

> 23/10/2014 K. S. Sandhya

### Outline

- Reaction mechanism of first insertion of four different mode of propylene attack in the presence of counter anion (CA).
- Second insertion of propylene in the presence of CA.
- Force field parameter development for the binding of propylene.
- Two different method for atomic charge generation (CHelpG, NPA).
- Comparing the geometry from DFT and from MD.
- MD simulation of binding of propylene with A mode of attack using two different atomic charges.
- Implementation of the force filed parameters for the C and B mode of attack for MD simulation.
- Future plan

# DFT study on the propylene reaction on Kaminsky catalyst in $[CH_3B(C_6F_5)_3]^-$



Counter anion : co-catalyst

We have considered four different mode of propylene attack to the pre-catalyst (without propylene) along with counter anion.









The four mode of propylene attack to the pre-catalyst.

### Reaction mechanism scheme

• Propylene can react with pre-catalyst to give four possible products through transition states



#### Four centered transition state



#### Method

For **A** attack M06/6-31++ G (d,p) LanL2DZ Activation barrier 4.25 [kcal/mol]

Method M06/6-31G(d,p), LanL2DZ for Zr SP calc. M06/def2\_TZVPP

| Energy<br>[kcal/mol]  | Α    | С    | В    | D     |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|-------|
| Activation<br>barrier | 5.40 | 3.94 | 9.92 | 22.50 |
| Activation<br>barrier | 4.75 | 5.75 | 8.86 | 20.61 |

• A and C mode of propylene attack is favorable compared to B and D mode of approach.

#### Second Insertion of propylene attack



#### Overall energy profile for the insertion of propylene in the presence of counter anion.

Method : M06/6-31G (d,p) LanL2DZ

| Complex name | Relative energy [ kcal/mol ]<br>A-A C-C |        |  |
|--------------|---|--------|--|
| Intl         | 0                                       | 0      |  |
| Rea1         | -25.28                                  | -25.47 |  |
| TS1          | -15.88                                  | -21.52 |  |
| Pdt1         | -30.98                                  | -37.71 |  |
| Rea2         | -39.98                                  | -40.62 |  |
| TS2          | -39.31                                  | -38.1  |  |
| Pdt2         | -57.63                                  | -77.72 |  |

A-A -> First and second
insertion by A style approach of
propylene
C-C -> First and second insertion by
C style approach of propylene

Intl = Initial geometry without propylene attack TS means transition states Rea means reactants Pdt means products

• This table clearly explain the polymerization of Propylene is taking place with decrease in energy of reaction. That is as reaction is proceeds the polymer become more stabilized compared to initial complex.

### Force field parameter development using DFT

Force field parameters are created using the equation given below

$$E_{\text{total}} = \sum_{\text{bonds}} K_r (r - r_{\text{eq}})^2 + \sum_{\text{angles}} K_\theta (\theta - \theta_{\text{eq}})^2 + \sum_{\text{angles}} K_$$

$$\sum_{\text{dihedrals}} \frac{V_n}{2} [1 + \cos(n\phi - \gamma)] + \sum_{i < j} \left[ \frac{A_{ij}}{R_{ij}^{-12}} - \frac{B_{ij}}{R_{ij}^{-6}} + \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon R_{ij}} \right]$$

One example for finding the force constant for bond between zr-c1. M06/6-31++ G(d,p), LanL2DZ for Zr





### Force field parameters created

| Bond  | K1  | Distance [Å] |
|-------|-----|--------------|
| zr-c2 | 204 | 2.58         |
| zr-c9 | 258 | 3.011        |
| c9-ha | 396 | 1.094        |
| c2-hb | 396 | 1.091        |
| c2-hd | 399 | 1.093        |
| c9-c2 | 590 | 1.324        |
| c9-c3 | 314 | 1.481        |
| zr-cp | 219 | 2.43         |
| c4-ha | 367 | 1.099        |
| zr-c4 | 150 | 2.242        |

| Angle   | K2     | Angle [°] |
|---------|--------|-----------|
| si-c5-z | r 7.50 | 98.85     |
| zr-c2-h | b 1.28 | 103.1     |
| zr-c2-h | d 2.09 | 86.42     |
| zr-c9-h | a 3.96 | 95.58     |
| zr-c2-c | 9 4.18 | 94.29     |
| zr-c9-c | 2 0.03 | 59.07     |
| zr-c9-c | 3 2.09 | 117.71    |

| Dihedral<br>angle | Multiplicity | K3 | φ [°] | Periodicity<br>, n |
|-------------------|--------------|----|-------|--------------------|
| c4-zr-c2-c9       | 1            | 51 | 360   | 1                  |
| c4-zr-c9-c3       | 1            | 58 | 360   | 1                  |

#### Other parameters taken from Previous papers <sup>a,b</sup>

| Bond  | K1  | Distance [Å] |
|-------|-----|--------------|
|       |     |              |
| c3-hc | 345 | 1.09         |
|       |     |              |
| c4-hc | 340 | 1.09         |
|       |     |              |
| zr-c5 | 137 | 2.38         |
|       |     |              |
| zr-c4 | 200 | 2.26         |
|       |     |              |
| si-c5 | 506 | 1.90         |

| Angle    | K2  | Angle [°] |
|----------|-----|-----------|
| hc-c4-hc | 35  | 109.5     |
| si-c3-hc | 35  | 109.5     |
| c3-si-c3 | 40  | 109.5     |
| zr-c4-hc | 150 | 109.5     |
| si-c5-c5 | 40  | 126.0     |
| c5-c3-c3 | 70  | 109.5     |
| c5-si-c5 | 70  | 109.5     |
| c3-si-c5 | 22  | 109.5     |

| Atom type | Vander Waals<br>depth,ɛ<br>[kcal/mol] | Vander Waals<br>radius, [Å] |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Zľ        | 1.000                                 | 0.000                       |
| si        | 1.908                                 | 0.086                       |
| c4        | 1.910                                 | 0.130                       |

a. Bosnich et. al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 1352-1368

 b. Brintzinger et.al., J. Mol. Struc., 1999, 485-486, 409-419



Parameters used to DFT optimized structure of C mode of attack



Force field parameters are created for this complex Atom types used for this complex



Solvent Pentane Atomic charges from two method 1. CHelpG M06/6-31++G(d,p) 2. NPA M06/6-31++G(d,p)

> New script has written for the extraction of atomic charge calculated from the Gaussian 09 out put gesp file to prepin file.

### **Structural comparison between DFT optimized geometry and MD minimized geometry**

| Structural Parameters of A | DFT   | MD (atomic<br>charge from<br>CHelpG) | MD (atomic<br>charge from<br>NPA) |
|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| zr-c2                      | 2.589 | 2.616                                | 2.648                             |
| zr-c9                      | 3.010 | 3.017                                | 3.039                             |
| zr-c4                      | 2.243 | 2.299                                | 2.358                             |
| zr-cp                      | 2.430 | 2.43                                 | 2.410                             |
| zr-c2-hb                   | 104   | 104                                  | 104                               |
| zr-c2-hb                   | 85    | 80                                   | 73                                |
| zr-c9-ha                   | 95    | 95                                   | 125                               |
| zr-c9-c3                   | 119   | 120                                  | 89                                |
| c4-zr-c2-c9                | 20    | 19                                   | 20                                |

|           | Atomic charges |        |  |
|-----------|----------------|--------|--|
| Atom type | NPA            | CHelpG |  |
| zr        | 0.937          | 1.237  |  |
| c4:       | -0.456         | -1.123 |  |
| c2 :      | -0.226         | -0.584 |  |
| c9 :      | 0.75           | -0.025 |  |
| si :      | 0.666          | 1.850  |  |

MD minimized Geometry obtained using CHelpG atomic charges is more similar to DFT structure.

MD simulation of propylene bonded activated complex, A – CHelpG method for atomic charge c9-c2-zr-c4



Dihedral angle, c4-zr-c2-c9 [þ]

**MD** -> Dt = 0.001, NSTLIM = 50000 TEMP = 300 K Pressure = 1 atm Various metastable structures during MD simulation



This Figures clearly indicate that various rotations possible for the Propylene when it bound to the reactive pre-catalyst.



MD simulation of propylene bonded activated complex, A

H<sub>3</sub>C H<sub>3</sub>C H<sub>3</sub>C CH<sub>3</sub> A





In this figure also clearly shed the presence of metastable structures

Various metastable structures during MD simulation 32.11 13.65 ps 18.75 ps



MD simulation of propylene bonded activated complex, C







#### DFT studies on rotation of propylene

| Complex<br>name | Relative energy<br>[kcal/mol] | Complex<br>name | Relative energy in<br>[kcal/mol] |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Α               | 0                             | С               | 0                                |
| TS1             | 0.83                          | TS1             | 2.43                             |
| Metastate       | -0.27                         | Metastate       | 0.13                             |
| TS2             | 2.35                          | TS2             | 2.35                             |
| В               | 0.59                          | D               | 0.41                             |





#### Future plan REACTION SCHEME FOR MC/MD



- Preparing force field parameters for the products
- Writing a paper regarding the force field development of intermediate state for MD simulation and the DFT studies on the metastable state. Target time- one month.
- Force field parameter for C mode attack of propylene and use of **Merz-Singh-Kollman (MK)** method to assign atomic charge to A mode of attack.

# Conclusions

- DFT studies on the reaction mechanism of four different attack of propylene in the presence of the counter anion show a decrease in the activation barrier.
- Numerous force field parameters are developed and successfully implemented for MD simulation.
- Various metastable structures are observed in MD simulation.
- TS's for the metastable structures confirm that activation barrier is very less (3 kcal/mol).

## Thank you